

On the Orbit Method for the Lie Algebra of Vector Fields on a Curve

Rosane Ushirobira

Department of Mathematics, Université de Poitiers, 40, Avenue du Recteur Pineau, Poitiers, 86022, France

Communicated by Peter Littelmann

Received December 11, 1996

Let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra of vector fields on an affine smooth curve Σ . Our goal is to establish an orbit method for \mathfrak{g} . Since \mathfrak{g} is infinite-dimensional, we face some technical problems. Without having groups acting on \mathfrak{g} , we try nevertheless to define the notion of “orbits.” So, we focus our attention to a subspace \mathfrak{g}_f^* of \mathfrak{g}^* . This subspace consists of the “finite-dimensional orbits.” To almost all λ in \mathfrak{g}_f^* it corresponds a simple induced representation of \mathfrak{g} whose annihilator is a primitive ideal. We conjecture that this ideal has a finite Gelfand–Kirillov codimension. What we are actually looking for is a bijection similar to Dixmier’s bijection (in the finite-dimensional case) between the “orbits” of \mathfrak{g}_f^* and certain primitive ideals of the enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g} . © 1998 Academic Press

INTRODUCTION

Our goal in this paper is to propose an orbit method for the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of vector fields \mathfrak{g} on an affine smooth curve Σ . Using Dixmier’s idea, we start by proving the simplicity of some induced representations of \mathfrak{g} .

For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, one can define the skew-symmetric bilinear form $d\lambda$ by $d\lambda(\eta, \xi) = \lambda[\eta, \xi]$, for all $\eta, \xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. Let \mathfrak{g}_f^* be the set of finite rank elements of \mathfrak{g}^* , i.e., the elements $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ satisfying $\text{codim}_k \ker d\lambda < +\infty$. To each element of \mathfrak{g}_f^* , we associate a canonical polarization \mathfrak{p} . If $x \in \Sigma$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}_f^*$, define the order $\text{ord}_x(\lambda)$ of λ in x so that $\text{ord}_x(\lambda) = -1$ for almost all $x \in \Sigma$. The support $\text{supp}(\lambda)$ of λ is the set of points $x \in \Sigma$ which satisfy $\text{ord}_x(\lambda) \neq -1$. The main result in this paper is:

THEOREM. *Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}_f^*$ and \mathfrak{p} be its associated canonical polarization. Then, $\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ is a simple \mathfrak{g} -module if, and only if, $\text{ord}_x(\lambda) \neq 0$ for all x in $\text{supp}(\lambda)$. Nevertheless, $\text{Ann}_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})} \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ is always a primitive ideal of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$.*

It is this theorem that suggests the idea of a Dixmier map for \mathfrak{g} . More precisely, the fact that one can produce primitive ideals from whichever elements of \mathfrak{g}_f^* should give rise to an orbit method.

In Section 2, we identify \mathfrak{g}_f^* to the subspace \mathfrak{g}_{fs}^* of elements of \mathfrak{g}^* having finite support. For that, we show an interesting lemma about the dimension of the kernel of an element λ in \mathfrak{g}^* : it turns out that either $\dim_k \ker d\lambda$ is 0 or 1, or $\ker d\lambda$ is finite-codimensional and in this case, λ has finite support. We find in the Section 3, the canonical polarizations at elements of \mathfrak{g}_f^* . They are called canonical thanks to a flag of subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} . Naturally, this construction is inspired by the construction of Vergne polarization (for the finite-dimensional solvable Lie algebras). In Section 4, we prove the theorem stated above. Finally, in Section 5, we propose a Dixmier map for \mathfrak{g} .

1. NOTATIONS AND KNOWN GENERALITIES

(1) Let \mathbf{k} be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Let Σ be a smooth irreducible affine curve. We denote by \mathbf{A} the ring of regular functions over Σ . Recall that if Σ is embedded in \mathbb{A}^n and defined by the equations $F_1 = \dots = F_r = 0$ with $F_i \in \mathbf{k}[T_1, \dots, T_n]$, then we have $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{k}[\Sigma] = \mathbf{k}[T_1, \dots, T_n]/\mathcal{I}(\Sigma)$. The ideal $\mathcal{I}(\Sigma)$ is the radical ideal which defines Σ with $\mathcal{I}(\Sigma) = (F_1, \dots, F_r)$. Denote by \mathbf{K} the quotient field of \mathbf{A} .

(2) A divisor D for the curve Σ is a formal sum of points of Σ with multiplicities. That is, $D = \sum_i m_i x_i$ where $x_i \in \Sigma$ and $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. The support of D is $\text{supp}(D) = \{x_i \mid m_i \neq 0\}$. We call D effective if all $m_i \geq 0$. There exists a bijection between the effective divisors of Σ and the ideals of \mathbf{A} .

(3) Recall the Chinese Remainder Theorem: let $x_1, \dots, x_s \in \Sigma$ and u_1, \dots, u_s be local parameters at x_1, \dots, x_s , respectively. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} = \bigcap_{i=1}^s \mathcal{O}_{x_i}$ where \mathcal{O}_{x_i} denotes the local ring at x_i . The ring $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ has $(u_1), \dots, (u_s)$ as prime ideals. Let $p_1, \dots, p_s \in \mathbb{N}$. If $f_1, \dots, f_s \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}$, then there exists $h \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ such that $h \equiv f_i \pmod{(u_i^{p_i})}$, for all $i = 1, \dots, s$.

(4) We set $\mathfrak{g} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Der}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A})$ to be the Lie algebra of \mathbf{k} -derivations of \mathbf{A} . This algebra is also called the Lie algebra of vector fields on Σ . The bracket is given by $[\eta, \xi] = \eta\xi - \xi\eta, \forall \eta, \xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. We state below some useful properties of \mathfrak{g} . The first three properties come from the fact that \mathfrak{g} is a projective \mathbf{A} -module of rank 1.

(4a) For all ξ, η non-zero in \mathfrak{g} , there exist f, g non-zero in \mathbf{A} such that $f\xi = g\eta$. One can deduce that if ξ, η are non-proportional over \mathbf{k} , then $[\xi, \eta] \neq 0$.

(4b) Let $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\varphi \in \mathbf{A}$. Then $\eta(\varphi)\xi - \xi(\varphi)\eta = 0$.

(4c) Let \mathbf{L} be an \mathbf{A} -submodule of \mathfrak{g} . Then there exists a unique ideal \mathcal{I} of \mathbf{A} such that $\mathbf{L} = \mathcal{I}\mathfrak{g}$. If \mathbf{L} is a non-zero \mathbf{A} -submodule, then \mathbf{L} has finite codimension in \mathfrak{g} .

(4d) Let $x \in \Sigma$ and u be a local parameter at x . Then there exists a neighborhood U of x such that the restriction of any $\delta \in \mathfrak{g}$ to $\mathbf{k}[U]$ can be written as $\delta = \delta(u)d/du$.

(4e) (Jordan [J]) The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is simple.

2. AN INTERESTING SUBSPACE OF \mathfrak{g}^*

Let \mathfrak{g}^* denote the dual of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . The group of automorphisms of Σ is small, often $\{1\}$. However, we can define “orbits” in \mathfrak{g} (in the sense of coadjoint orbits, see Section 5) and we can talk about finite-dimensional “orbits.” We are interested on these finite dimensional “orbits” of \mathfrak{g}^* (that means, the orbits of elements $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ such that $d\lambda$ has finite rank). In this section we will show that these “orbits” can be also characterized by the elements of \mathfrak{g}^* whose support is a finite set of points of Σ . For this proof, we need an interesting result about the dimension of the kernel of $d\lambda$ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let $x \in \Sigma$ and u be a local parameter at x . Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. The element $T_{x,m}$ of \mathfrak{g}^* is defined as $T_{x,m}(\delta) = (d^m\delta(u)/du^m)(x)$ for δ written locally as $\delta(u)d/du \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Let δ be in \mathfrak{g} written locally as $f d/du$ for some $f \in \mathbf{A}$. This operator $T_{x,m}$ applied to δ gives the coefficient of u^m at the formal power series of f .

PROPOSITION 2.2. Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal of \mathbf{A} and let $D = \sum_{i=1}^s m_i x_i$ be its associated divisor. Then $\{T_{x_i,l} \mid l = 0, 1, \dots, m_i - 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, s\}$ is a basis of $(\mathfrak{g}/\mathcal{I}\mathfrak{g})^*$.

Proof. It follows from the Chinese Remainder Theorem (1.3). ■

DEFINITION 2.3. Define $\mathfrak{g}_f^*(x)$ as the vector space generated by $T_{x,m}$, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Notice that $T_{x,m}$ depends on the local parameter u , but the space $\mathfrak{g}_f^*(x)$ doesn't.

DEFINITION 2.4. We say that $\delta \in \mathfrak{g}$ vanishes $n + 1$ times at x if $d^i\delta(u)/du^i(x) = 0$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ when δ is written locally as $\delta(u)d/du$. Set $\mathfrak{g}_i^x = \{\delta \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \delta \text{ vanishes } i + 1 \text{ times at } x\}$. It is clear that we have the sequence $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1}^x \supset \mathfrak{g}_0^x \supset \mathfrak{g}_1^x \supset \mathfrak{g}_2^x \supset \dots$. To simplify the notation we will write sometimes \mathfrak{g}_i instead of \mathfrak{g}_i^x .

We shall see now some properties of the subspaces \mathfrak{g}_i . Recall that for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider $u^i d/du$ as an element of \mathfrak{g} .

LEMMA 2.5.

(i) We have $[\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_j] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{i+j}$. In particular, \mathfrak{g}_i is a Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and $[\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_i] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{2i+1}$.

(ii) We have $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \overline{\mathfrak{g}_{i-1}/\mathfrak{g}_i} = 1$, for all $i = 0, 1, \dots$ and $\{\overline{u^i d/du}\}$ generates $\overline{\mathfrak{g}_{i-1}/\mathfrak{g}_i}$ where $u^i d/du$ denotes the class of $u^i d/du$ in $\overline{\mathfrak{g}_{i-1}/\mathfrak{g}_i}$. Then $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_i = i + 1$.

(iii) The subspace \mathfrak{g}_i is an \mathbf{A} -submodule of \mathfrak{g} . In fact, $\mathfrak{g}_i = \mathcal{P}_i \mathfrak{g}$ where \mathcal{P}_i is the ideal formed by the elements of \mathbf{A} that vanishes $i + 1$ times at x . In other words, $\mathcal{P}_i = \mathcal{M}_x^{i+1}$ with $\mathcal{M}_x = \{f \in \mathbf{A} \mid f(x) = 0\}$.

Proof. (i) Let $\delta = f d/du \in \mathfrak{g}_i$ and $\eta = g d/du \in \mathfrak{g}_j$. Then their formal series can be written as $f = \alpha_{i+1}u^{i+1} + \alpha_{i+2}u^{i+2} + \dots$ with $m! \alpha_m = d^m f / du^m(x)$ and $g = \beta_{j+1}u^{j+1} + \beta_{j+2}u^{j+2} + \dots$ with $n! \beta_n = d^n g / du^n(x)$. Thus $[\delta, \eta] = \sum_{k=i+j+2}^{\infty} (\sum_{r+s=k} s \alpha_r \beta_s - \sum_{p+q=k} p \alpha_p \beta_q) u^{k-1} d/du$. Hence $[\delta, \eta] \in \mathfrak{g}_{i+j}$. In particular, if $i = j$ we have

$$[\delta, \eta] = \sum_{k=2i+3}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{r+s=k} s \alpha_r \beta_s - \sum_{p+q=k} p \alpha_p \beta_q \right) u^{k-1} \frac{d}{du}.$$

That implies $[\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_i] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{2i+1} \subset \mathfrak{g}_i$.

(ii) It is clear that $u^i d/du$ is not in \mathfrak{g}_i . Moreover, let $\delta = f d/du \in \mathfrak{g}_{i-1}/\mathfrak{g}_i$. We write $f = \alpha_i u^i + \alpha_{i+1} u^{i+1} + \dots$ with $\alpha_i \neq 0$. We obtain that $u^i d/du$ generates $\overline{\mathfrak{g}_{i-1}/\mathfrak{g}_i}$. As a consequence, $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \overline{\mathfrak{g}_{i-1}/\mathfrak{g}_i} = 1$.

(iii) Take $\delta \in \mathfrak{g}_i$. Then $\delta = \delta(u) d/du$ and $\delta(u) = \alpha_{i+1} u^{i+1} + \alpha_{i+2} u^{i+2} + \dots$ in the usual notation. Let $f \in \mathbf{A}$, $f = \beta_0 + \beta_1 u + \dots$. This implies $f \delta(u) = \beta_0 \alpha_{i+1} u^{i+1} + (\beta_0 \alpha_{i+2} + \beta_1 \alpha_{i+1}) u^{i+2} + \dots$ which is an element of \mathfrak{g}_i . It comes that \mathfrak{g}_i is an \mathbf{A} -submodule of \mathfrak{g} . ■

Recall the notation of the enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ of \mathfrak{g} . This algebra possesses a canonical filtration given by $\{\mathcal{Z}_n(\mathfrak{g})\}_{n \geq 0}$ where $\mathcal{Z}_n(\mathfrak{g})$ is the vector subspace of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by the products $\delta_1 \delta_2 \dots \delta_p$ with $\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_p \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $p \leq n$.

LEMMA 2.6. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have:

(i) $[\mathfrak{g}_k, \mathfrak{g}] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{k-1}$

(ii) $\mathfrak{g}_k \mathcal{Z}_n(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \mathfrak{g}_{k-n}$ for all $n \leq k$.

Proof. (i) It is easy to see from the lemma above that $[\mathfrak{g}_k, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{k-1}$.

(ii) We have $\mathfrak{g}_k \mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{g}_k + [\mathfrak{g}_k, \mathfrak{g}] \subset \mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{g}_k + \mathfrak{g}_{k-1} \subset \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \mathfrak{g}_{k-1}$. So by induction on n , this implies $\mathfrak{g}_k \mathcal{Z}_n(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}_k \mathcal{Z}_{n-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{g}_k \mathcal{Z}_{n-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \mathfrak{g}_{k-n+1} \mathfrak{g} + \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \mathfrak{g}_{k-n+1} \subset \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \mathfrak{g}_{k-n}$. ■

DEFINITION 2.7. Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}_f^*(x)$. The *order* of λ at x is defined as being the smallest integer m such that $\lambda(\mathfrak{g}_m^x) = 0$. We denote it by $\text{ord}_x(\lambda) = m$. It is clear that $\lambda(\mathfrak{g}_i^x) = 0$ for all $i \geq \text{ord}_x(\lambda)$.

Remark 2.8. Let λ be an element of $\mathfrak{g}_f^*(x)$. Hence λ can be written uniquely as $\lambda = \sum_{i=0}^s \beta_i T_{x,i}$ for a certain $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\beta_i \in \mathbf{k}$ with $\beta_s \neq 0$. Suppose $\text{ord}_x(\lambda) = m$. It is clear that $s = m$. Let $\delta \in \mathfrak{g}_{m-1}$. We can easily see that $\lambda(\delta) = \beta_m T_{x,m}(\delta)$ since $T_{x,i}(\delta) = 0$, for all $i = 0, \dots, m-1$.

PROPOSITION 2.9. Let $x \in \Sigma$. Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}_f^*(x)$ of order m . Then,

(i) If m is even, one has $\mathfrak{g}^\lambda = \mathfrak{g}_{m+1}$.

(ii) If m is odd, one has $\mathfrak{g}_{m+1} \subset \mathfrak{g}^\lambda$ and $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{g}^\lambda / \mathfrak{g}_{m+1} = 1$.

Proof. Since $\text{ord}_x(\lambda) = m$, one can write $\lambda = \sum_{i=0}^m \beta_i T_{x,i}$ with $\beta_m \neq 0$. Let $\delta = f \, d/du \in \mathfrak{g}^\lambda$. Write $f = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 u + \alpha_2 u^2 + \dots$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= d\lambda\left(\delta, u^n \frac{d}{du}\right) = \lambda\left(\left(nu^{n-1}f - u^n \frac{df}{du}\right) \frac{d}{du}\right) \\ &= \lambda\left(\left(n\alpha_0 u^{n-1} + (n-1)\alpha_1 u^n + (n-2)\alpha_2 u^{n+1} + \dots\right) \frac{d}{du}\right) \\ &= \lambda\left(\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (n-i)\alpha_i u^{n+i-1}\right) \frac{d}{du}\right) \\ &= \lambda\left(\left(\sum_{i=n-1}^{\infty} (2n-i-1)\alpha_{i-n+1} u^i\right) \frac{d}{du}\right). \end{aligned}$$

(i) Suppose m pair, $m = 2k$. If $n = 2k + 1$, then $\lambda((\sum_{i=m}^{\infty} (4k-i+1)\alpha_{i-2k} u^i) d/du) = 0$. By Remark 2.8, we get $\beta_m T_{x,m}((\sum_{i=m}^{\infty} (4k-i+1)\alpha_{i-2k} u^i) d/du) = 0$. Thus $\beta_m(m+1)\alpha_0 = 0$. One obtains $\alpha_0 = 0$.

By induction, suppose $\alpha_i = 0, \forall i = 0, \dots, j$ for $0 \leq j \leq 2k$. Put $n = 2k + 1 - (j+1)$. Then $\lambda((\sum_{i=m}^{\infty} (4k-2j-i-1)\alpha_{i-2k+j+1} u^i) d/du) = 0$. So by Remark 2.8

$$\beta_m T_{x,m}\left(\left(\sum_{i=m}^{\infty} (4k-2j-i-1)\alpha_{i-2k+j+1} u^i\right) \frac{d}{du}\right) = 0.$$

Finally $\beta_m(2k-2j-1)\alpha_{j+1} = 0$. Since $2j \neq 2k-1$, $\alpha_{j+1} = 0$. Then $\delta \in \mathfrak{g}_{m+1}$. That implies $\mathfrak{g}^\lambda \subset \mathfrak{g}_{m+1}$.

We obtain that $[\mathfrak{g}_{m+1}, \mathfrak{g}] \subset \mathfrak{g}_m$ using Lemma 2.6(i). It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{g}_{m+1} \subset \mathfrak{g}^\lambda$. Indeed, $\lambda[\mathfrak{g}_{m+1}, \mathfrak{g}] \subset \lambda(\mathfrak{g}_m) = 0$. As a consequence, we have $\mathfrak{g}^\lambda = \mathfrak{g}_{m+1}$.

(ii) Suppose m is odd, $m = 2k + 1$. Proceeding as in the even case, we obtain also that $\mathfrak{g}_{m+1} \subset \mathfrak{g}^\lambda$. Let us show that $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{g}^\lambda / \mathfrak{g}_{m+1} = 1$.

If $n = 2k + 2$, then using the same reasoning as in the even case, one has $\alpha_0 = 0$. As previously, we suppose $\alpha_i = 0, \forall i = 0, 1, \dots, j$ for $0 \leq j \leq k - 1$. Take $n = 2k + 2 - (j + 1)$. We have $\beta_m T_{x,m} ((\sum_{i=m}^{\infty} (4k - 2j - i + 1)\alpha_{i-2k+j}u^i)d/du) = 0$. So $\beta_m(2k - 2j)\alpha_{j+1} = 0$. Since $j \neq k$, then $\alpha_{j+1} = 0$. Hence $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_k = 0$.

Suppose $n = k + 1$. Then $d\lambda(\delta, u^n d/du) = \lambda((\sum_{i=m}^{\infty} (2k + 1 - i)\alpha_{i-k}u^i)d/du) = \beta_m(2k + 1 - m)\alpha_{k+1}$.

Therefore α_{k+1} can be any element of \mathbf{k} . Since $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_k = 0$, we have that $\lambda((\sum_{i=n+k}^{\infty} (2n - i - 1)\alpha_{i-n+1}u^i)d/du) = 0$.

If $n = k$, then $\lambda((\sum_{i=2k}^{\infty} (2k - i - 1)\alpha_{i-k+1}u^i)d/du) = 0$.

Recall that $\lambda = \beta_0 T_{x,0} + \dots + \beta_{m-1} T_{x,m-1} + \beta_m T_{x,m}$. So $-(2k)!\alpha_{k+1} + (-2)(2k + 1)!\alpha_{k+2} = 0$, i.e., $\alpha_{k+1} + 2(2k + 1)\alpha_{k+2} = 0$.

Similarly when $n = k - i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$: $(2k - i)!(i + 1)\alpha_{k+1} + (2k - i + 1)!(i + 2)\alpha_{k+2} + \dots + (2k + 1)!(2i + 2)\alpha_{k+i+2} = 0$.

Thus let us take $\eta = g d/du \in \mathfrak{g}^\lambda$ such that $g = \alpha_{k+1}u^{k+1} + \alpha_{k+2}u^{k+2} + \dots$ where $\alpha_i \in \mathbf{k}\alpha_{k+1}$ for every $i = k + 1, \dots, m + 1$. Choose $\alpha_{k+1} = 1$ in the series of g . We have then $g = u^{k+1} - (1/2m)u^{k+2} - (m - 3/8m^2)u^{k+3} + \dots$. This element η does not belong to \mathfrak{g}_{m+1} . Moreover, it is easy to see that η generates $\mathfrak{g}^\lambda / \mathfrak{g}_{m+1}$. ■

For $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $[r]$ denotes the integral part of r .

COROLLARY 2.10. *Let $x \in \Sigma$. Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}_f^*(x)$ of order m . Then, the codimension of \mathfrak{g}^λ is $m + 2$ if m is even and $m + 1$ if m is odd, i.e., $\text{codim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{g}^\lambda = 2[m/2] + 2$.*

Proof. From Lemma 2.5(ii), we have $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{g}_{m+1} = m + 2$. If m is even, then $\mathfrak{g}^\lambda = \mathfrak{g}_{m+1}$. Then, $\text{codim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{g}^\lambda = m + 2$. Suppose m is odd. One obtains $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{g}^\lambda = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{g}_{m+1} - \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{g}^\lambda / \mathfrak{g}_{m+1} = m + 1$. ■

2.1. The Subspace $\mathfrak{g}_{f_s}^*$. Let $x \in \Sigma$ and u be a local parameter at x . Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We remark that the operators $T_{x,m}$'s are linearly independent. Therefore we can form the direct sum of the $\mathfrak{g}_f^*(x)$'s. We define:

DEFINITION 2.11. The subspace $\mathfrak{g}_{f_s}^*$ is defined by $\mathfrak{g}_{f_s}^* = \bigoplus_{x \in \Sigma} \mathfrak{g}_f^*(x)$.

Remark 2.12. The subspace $\mathfrak{g}_{f_s}^*$ consists of the elements of \mathfrak{g}^* to which one can associate a support.

DEFINITION 2.13. Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}_{f_s}^*$. Therefore, there exists a unique way of writing λ as a finite sum $\sum_{x \in I} \lambda_x$ where $\lambda_x \in \mathfrak{g}_f^*(x)$. The order of λ at x

is $\text{ord}_x(\lambda) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{ord}_x(\lambda_x)$.

Remark that $\text{ord}_x 0 = -1$. In particular, $\text{ord}_x(\lambda) = -1$ for almost all x in Σ .

DEFINITION 2.14. Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}_{fs}^*$. Therefore λ is a finite sum $\sum_{x \in I} \lambda_x$ with $\lambda_x \in \mathfrak{g}_f^*(x)$. Define the *support* of λ as $\text{supp}(\lambda) = \{x \in \Sigma \mid \text{ord}_x(\lambda) \neq -1\}$.

We associate a divisor D of Σ to an element λ in \mathfrak{g}_{fs}^* as follows:

DEFINITION 2.15. Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}_{fs}^*$, $\lambda = \sum_{x \in \text{supp}(\lambda)} \lambda_x$ with $\lambda_x \in \mathfrak{g}_f^*(x)$. The *associated divisor* of λ is defined as $D_\lambda = \sum_{x \in \text{supp}(\lambda)} (\text{ord}_x(\lambda) + 1)x$.

If D_λ is the associated divisor of λ , then $\text{supp}(\lambda) = \text{supp}(D_\lambda)$. Besides, $\mathfrak{g}_f^*(x)$ can be seen as $\mathfrak{g}_f^*(x) = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}_f^* \mid \text{supp}(\lambda) = \{x\}\}$.

EXAMPLE 2.1. Let $x \in \Sigma$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Take $T_{x,m} \in \mathfrak{g}_{fs}^*$. It is clear that $\text{ord}_x(T_{x,m}) = m$ and $\text{supp}(T_{x,m}) = \{x\}$. The associated divisor of $T_{x,m}$ is $D_{T_{x,m}} = (m + 1)x$.

2.2. *The Subspace \mathfrak{g}_{fr}^* .* Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. Recall we can define the skew-symmetric bilinear form $d\lambda: \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ where $d\lambda(\eta, \xi) = \lambda[\eta, \xi]$, for all $\eta, \xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. We denote by \mathfrak{g}^λ the kernel of $d\lambda$. That means $\mathfrak{g}^\lambda = \{\eta \in \mathfrak{g} \mid d\lambda(\eta, \xi) = 0, \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{g}\}$. Sometimes we use also the notation $\ker d\lambda$.

LEMMA 2.16. Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. Then:

- (i) One has $[\mathfrak{g}^\lambda, \mathfrak{g}] \subset \ker \lambda$.
- (ii) The subspace \mathfrak{g}^λ is a Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} .
- (iii) If \mathcal{I} is an ideal of \mathbf{A} such that $\mathcal{I}\mathfrak{g} \subset \ker \lambda$, then $\mathcal{I}^2\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{g}^\lambda$.

Proof. The assertions (i) and (ii) are obvious. For (iii), for all $f, g \in \mathcal{I}$, $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have $[fg\xi, \eta] = fg[\xi, \eta] - (\eta(f)g + f\eta(g))\xi$. We deduce then $[\mathcal{I}^2\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \subset \mathcal{I}\mathfrak{g}$. Therefore $\lambda[\mathcal{I}^2\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \subset \lambda(\mathcal{I}\mathfrak{g}) = 0$. ■

DEFINITION 2.17. We say that $d\lambda$ has *finite rank* if $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^\lambda < +\infty$.

DEFINITION 2.18. We define $\mathfrak{g}_{fr}^* = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^* \mid d\lambda \text{ has finite rank}\}$.

Remark 2.19. With the definition of this subspace \mathfrak{g}_{fr}^* , we focus our attention on the finite-dimensional “orbits” of \mathfrak{g}^* . This definition is inspired by the finite dimensional case of the orbit method. Indeed, if \mathcal{A} is the algebraic adjoint group of a finite-dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , then the tangent space to the coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{A} \cdot \lambda$ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^\lambda$.

EXAMPLE 2.2. Consider $\Sigma = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Thus its affine coordinate ring is $\mathbf{A} = \mathbb{C}[T, T^{-1}]$, the Laurent polynomials algebra. In this case, we have that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbf{W}^{\text{def}} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}L_n$ is the Witt algebra with $L_n = T^{n+1} d/dT$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

With our definition of $T_{x,m}$ ($x \in \Sigma, m \in \mathbb{N}$), we have $T_{x,m}(f d/dT) = f^{(m)}(x)$, $\forall f \in \mathbf{A}$ where $f^{(m)}(x)$ denotes the m th derivative of f with respect to T evaluated at x . K. Bennani [Be, Proposition 10.3] showed that the rank of $dT_{x,m}$ is $m + 2$ if m is even and $m + 1$ if m is odd. Hence $T_{x,m} \in \mathfrak{g}_{fr}^*$.

PROPOSITION 2.20. Let $x \in \Sigma$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, the rank of $dT_{x,m}$ is $m + 2$ if m is even and $m + 1$ if m is odd.

Proof. Let u be a local parameter at x .

(i) Suppose m is even. Let $\delta = f d/du \in \mathfrak{g}$ (written locally) and assume that f can be written as $f = \alpha_{m+2}u^{m+2} + \alpha_{m+3}u^{m+3} + \dots$ with $i! \alpha_i = d^i f/du^i(x)$, for all $i = m + 2, m + 3, \dots$. Let $\eta = g d/du$ be any element of \mathfrak{g} and write $g = \beta_0 + \beta_1 u + \dots$ for the formal power series of g . One has $[\delta, \eta] = (f dg/du - g df/du)d/du$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} dT_{x,m}(\delta, \eta) &= T_{x,m}[\delta, \eta] \\ &= T_{x,m} \left(\sum_{k=m+2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i+j=k} j \alpha_i \beta_j - \sum_{p+q=k} p \alpha_p \beta_q \right) u^{k-1} \frac{d}{du} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since the coefficient of u^m is zero, the expression above is equal to zero. That shows that $\{f d/du \in \mathfrak{g} \mid d^i f/du^i(x) = 0, \forall i = 0, \dots, m + 1\} \subset \ker dT_{x,m}$.

Now, we shall prove the opposite inclusion. Let $\delta = f d/du \in \mathfrak{g}$ with $f = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 u + \dots$ and let $\eta = g d/du \in \mathfrak{g}$ as before. If $\delta \in \ker dT_{x,m}$, we obtain

$$0 = dT_{x,m}(\delta, \eta) = T_{x,m} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i+j=k} j \alpha_i \beta_j - \sum_{p+q=k} p \alpha_p \beta_q \right) u^{k-1} \frac{d}{du} \right).$$

Since $\delta \in \ker dT_{x,m}$, the coefficient of u^m in $[\delta, \eta]$ is equal to zero. Hence

$$\sum_{i+j=m+1} j \alpha_i \beta_j - \sum_{p+q=m+1} p \alpha_p \beta_q = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Put $g = u^l$ for $l = 0, 1, \dots, m + 1$. From the expression (2.1) comes

$$l \alpha_{m-l+1} - (m - l + 1) \alpha_{m-l+1} = 0, \quad \text{i.e., } (m - (2l + 1)) \alpha_{m-l+1} = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Since m is even, we get $\alpha_{m-l+1} = 0$, for all $l = 0, 1, \dots, m + 1$.

(ii) When m is odd, the proof goes exactly the same as above, except for the two last lines. In this case, the expression (2.2) implies that $\alpha_{m-l+1} = 0$ when $l \neq m + 1/2$.

Then

$$\ker dT_{x,m} = \begin{cases} \bigcap_{j=0}^{m+1} \ker T_{x,j} & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ \bigcap_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq m+1/2}}^{m+1} \ker T_{x,j} & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Henceforth the space $\mathcal{T}_{x,m}$ is a finite codimensional Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . ■

Finally one obtains:

LEMMA 2.21. *We have $\mathfrak{g}_{fs}^* \subset \mathfrak{g}_{fr}^*$.*

Proof. Let $\lambda = \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_{x_i, m_i} T_{x_i, m_i} \in \mathfrak{g}_{fs}^*$. Then $\bigcap_{i \in I} \ker dT_{x_i, m_i} \subset \mathfrak{g}^\lambda$.

From the previous proposition, $\ker dT_{x_i, m_i}$ has finite codimension in \mathfrak{g} , for all $i \in I$. So, $\bigcap_{i \in I} \ker dT_{x_i, m_i}$ has also finite codimension (recall that I is finite). It follows that \mathfrak{g}^λ has finite codimension in \mathfrak{g} . ■

To show that $\mathfrak{g}_{fr}^* \subset \mathfrak{g}_{fs}^*$, we need the useful lemma:

LEMMA 2.22. *Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ such that $d\lambda$ is a degenerated bilinear form. Then,*

(1) *either $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{g}^\lambda = 1$,*

(2) *or there exists a non-zero \mathbf{A} -submodule \mathbf{M} of \mathfrak{g} contained in \mathfrak{g}^λ . In this case, $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^\lambda \leq +\infty$.*

Proof. Assume that $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{g}^\lambda \geq 2$. We can find ξ, η two linearly independent elements in \mathfrak{g}^λ . Take φ in \mathbf{A} . One has $\eta(\varphi)\xi - \xi(\varphi)\eta = 0$ by (1.4b). We compute $[\eta, \varphi\xi] = \eta(\varphi)\xi + \varphi[\eta, \xi]$ and $[\varphi\eta, \xi] = \varphi[\eta, \xi] - \xi(\varphi)\eta$. We add these two brackets and we get $[\eta, \varphi\xi] + [\varphi\eta, \xi] = (\eta(\varphi)\xi - \xi(\varphi)\eta) + 2\varphi[\eta, \xi] = 2\varphi[\eta, \xi]$. By Lemma 2.16(i), since $\varphi[\eta, \xi] \in \ker \lambda$, then $\mathbf{A}[\eta, \xi]$ is contained in $\ker \lambda$. Notice that $[\eta, \xi] \neq 0$ whereas the bracket of two elements in \mathfrak{g} is zero if, and only if, they are proportional over \mathbf{k} (see (1.4a)). Let $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{A}[\eta, \xi]$. Therefore \mathbf{L} is a non-zero \mathbf{A} -submodule of \mathfrak{g} . Using (1.4c), one can find an ideal \mathcal{S} of \mathbf{A} such that $\mathbf{L} = \mathcal{S}\mathfrak{g}$. Since $\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{g} \subset \ker \lambda$, then $\mathcal{S}^2\mathfrak{g} \subset \ker d\lambda$ by Lemma 2.16(iii). But $\mathbf{M} = \mathcal{S}^2\mathfrak{g}$ is a finite codimensional \mathbf{A} -submodule of \mathfrak{g} by (1.4c). Hence \mathfrak{g}^λ has finite codimension. ■

EXAMPLE 2.3. In the previous lemma, one should take in account that the cases $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{g}^\lambda = 1$ and $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{g}^\lambda = 0$ are also possible as shown in this example. Consider the Witt algebra $\mathbf{W} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}L_n$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbf{W}^*$ be defined as:

(a) $\lambda(L_0) = 1$ and $\lambda(L_n) = 0$, when $n \neq 0$. So $\mathfrak{g}^\lambda = \mathbb{C}L_0$. Thus $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{g}^\lambda = 1$.

(b) $\lambda(L_r) = 1$ and $\lambda(L_n) = 0$ for $n \neq r$ and $r \neq 0$. Then $\mathfrak{g}^\lambda = \mathbb{C}L_{r/2}$ if r is even and $\mathfrak{g}^\lambda = 0$ if r is odd. Therefore we have $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{g}^\lambda = 1$ if r is even.

THEOREM 2.23. *Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. Then:*

- (1) *either $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{g}^\lambda \leq 1$,*
- (2) *or $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}_{f_s}^*$. In this case, $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^\lambda < +\infty$.*

Proof. Let λ be an element of \mathfrak{g}^* such that $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{g}^\lambda \geq 2$. Using Lemma 2.22, we can find a non-zero \mathbf{A} -submodule \mathbf{L} of \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathbf{L} \subset \ker \lambda$. On the other hand, \mathbf{L} can be written $\mathcal{I}\mathfrak{g}$ with \mathcal{I} an ideal of \mathbf{A} (by (1.4c)). Then, λ belongs to $(\mathfrak{g}/\mathcal{I}\mathfrak{g})^*$.

To every ideal \mathcal{I} one can associate a divisor $D = \sum_{i=1}^s m_i x_i$ of Σ . The set $\{T_{x_i, l} \mid l = 0, 1, \dots, m_i - 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, s\}$ consists of a basis of $(\mathfrak{g}/\mathcal{I}\mathfrak{g})^*$. So, λ can be written as $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{l=0}^{m_i-1} \alpha_{i,l} T_{x_i, l}$ for some $\alpha_{i,l} \in \mathbf{k}$. Therefore λ belongs to $\mathfrak{g}_{f_s}^*$. ■

COROLLARY 2.24. *The subspaces $\mathfrak{g}_{f_s}^*$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{f_r}^*$ are equal.*

Proof. The inclusion $\mathfrak{g}_{f_s}^* \subset \mathfrak{g}_{f_r}^*$ was shown in Lemma 2.21. It is enough to apply the theorem above to obtain $\mathfrak{g}_{f_r}^* \subset \mathfrak{g}_{f_s}^*$. ■

From now on, we shall denote $\mathfrak{g}_f^* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathfrak{g}_{f_r}^* = \mathfrak{g}_{f_s}^*$. This equality shows that in fact, the finite-dimensional “orbits” of \mathfrak{g}^* come from the elements of \mathfrak{g}^* determined by a finite number of points of Σ as mentioned at the beginning of this section.

PROPOSITION 2.25. *Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}_f^*$. Write $\lambda = \sum_{x \in \text{supp}(\lambda)} \lambda_x$ with $\lambda_x \in \mathfrak{g}_f^*(x)$. Suppose that $\text{supp}(\lambda) = \{x_1, \dots, x_s\}$. Let $m_i = \text{ord}_{x_i}(\lambda)$, $i = 1, \dots, s$. Then $\mathfrak{g}^\lambda = \bigcap_{i=1}^s \mathfrak{g}^{\lambda_{x_i}}$. In particular, $\text{codim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{g}^\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^s 2q_i + 2$ where $q_i = \lfloor m_i/2 \rfloor$.*

Proof. It is clear that $\bigcap_{i=1}^s \mathfrak{g}^{\lambda_{x_i}} \subset \mathfrak{g}^\lambda$. Put $m_i = \text{ord}_{x_i}(\lambda)$.

Let u_i be a local parameter at x_i . If $\delta \in \mathfrak{g}^\lambda$, then $d\lambda(\delta, \eta) = 0$ for all $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}$. Therefore $d\lambda(\delta, f\eta) = 0$ for all $f \in \mathbf{A}$, $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}$.

We take $j \in \{1, \dots, s\}$. By (1.3), we can find $h \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}} = \bigcap_{i=1}^s \mathcal{O}_{x_i}$ so that $h \equiv 1 \pmod{(u_j^{m_j+1})}$ and $h \equiv 0 \pmod{(u_i^{m_i+1})}$, $\forall i \neq j$. Thus, $0 = d\lambda(\delta, h\eta)$

$= m_j d\lambda_{x_j}(\delta, \eta)$. That means that $\delta \in \ker d\lambda_{x_j}$. It follows that $\mathfrak{g}^\lambda \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^s \mathfrak{g}^{\lambda_{x_i}}$.

Moreover, that implies that $\text{codim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{g}^\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^s \text{codim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{g}^{\lambda_{x_i}}$. Using Corollary 2.10, we get $\text{codim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{g}^\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^s 2(\lfloor m_i/2 \rfloor + 1)$. ■

3. POLARIZATIONS OF \mathfrak{g} AT AN ELEMENT OF \mathfrak{g}_f^*

As in the finite-dimensional Lie algebras case studied by Dixmier [D], the primitive ideals we are looking for rise from induced representations. These representations of \mathfrak{g} come from polarizations. In this section, we recall the definition of Vergne polarization used by Dixmier. Then we construct similar polarizations for the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of vector fields.

We start by considering \mathfrak{g} an arbitrary Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field \mathbf{k} with $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 0$. Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. Let \mathfrak{h} be a Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . The orthogonal subspace \mathfrak{h}^\perp with respect to $d\lambda$ is defined by $\mathfrak{h}^\perp = \{\delta \in \mathfrak{g} \mid d\lambda(\delta, \eta) = 0, \forall \eta \in \mathfrak{h}\}$.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. A *polarization* of \mathfrak{g} at λ is a maximal totally isotropic subalgebra with respect to $d\lambda$.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra of dimension n . Let $\mathfrak{g}_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathfrak{g}_n$ be a flag of subspaces of \mathfrak{g} . Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, $\lambda_i = \lambda|_{\mathfrak{g}_i}$, and $\mathfrak{p}_n = \mathfrak{g}_1^{\lambda_1} + \dots + \mathfrak{g}_n^{\lambda_n}$. It is a trivial linear algebra result that \mathfrak{p}_n is a maximal totally isotropic subspace of \mathfrak{g} .

PROPOSITION 3.2. If $\mathfrak{g}_0 \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}_n$ is a flag of ideals of \mathfrak{g} , then \mathfrak{p}_n is a Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and moreover, \mathfrak{p}_n is a polarization of \mathfrak{g} at λ .

Proof. See Dixmier [D, Chap. 1, Proposition 1.12.10]. ■

This polarization is called the *Vergne polarization* associated to the flag $\mathcal{D} = (\mathfrak{g}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{g}_n)$. We denote it by $\mathfrak{p}(\lambda, \mathcal{D})$. In what follows we will see that for certain flags of subalgebras we also obtain a maximal totally isotropic subalgebra.

We are interested in the polarizations of our Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of vector fields at elements of \mathfrak{g}_f^* . Let $x \in \Sigma$ and u be a local parameter at x . Recall the definition of the subspaces \mathfrak{g}_i of \mathfrak{g} (2.4).

PROPOSITION 3.3. Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}_f^*(x)$ of order m . Then $\mathfrak{g}_{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor}$ is a polarization of \mathfrak{g} at λ .

Proof. Put $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_q$. We will first prove that \mathfrak{h} is totally isotropic, i.e., $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{h}^\perp$. Let $\delta, \eta \in \mathfrak{h}$. From Lemma 2.5(i), it follows $[\delta, \eta] \in \mathfrak{g}_{2q+1}$. That means that $[\delta, \eta] \in \mathfrak{g}_{m+1}$ if m is even and $[\delta, \eta] \in \mathfrak{g}_m$ if m is odd. Thus, $\lambda([\delta, \eta]) = 0$.

Let us now show that $\mathfrak{h}^\perp \subset \mathfrak{h}$. Let $\delta = f d/du \in \mathfrak{h}^\perp$ and $\eta = g d/du \in \mathfrak{h}$. We write $f = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 u + \dots$ and $g = \beta_{q+1} u^{q+1} + \beta_{q+2} u^{q+2} + \dots$. So, $[\delta, \eta] = \sum_{k=q+1}^\infty (\sum_{r+s=k} s \alpha_r \beta_s - \sum_{p+q=k} p \alpha_p \beta_q) u^{k-1} d/du$. One knows that $\xi_l = u^l d/du$ belongs to \mathfrak{h} , for all $l \geq q+2$. Hence, if we replace η by ξ_l and taking the coefficient of u^m in the formal power series of $[\delta, \xi_l](u)$, we obtain $l \alpha_{m+1-l} - (m+1-l) \alpha_{m+1-l} = 0$ (recall that $\lambda[\delta, \xi_l] = 0$). Thus $(2l - m - 1) \alpha_{m+1-l} = 0, \forall l \geq q+2$. If m is odd, then $m = 2q+1$. We have $l > q+1$, so $2l - m - 1 \neq 0$. Therefore $\alpha_i = 0, \forall i = 0, \dots, q$. If m is even, then $2l - m - 1 \neq 0$, for all l . One obtains that $\alpha_{m+1-l} = 0, \forall l \geq q+2$. So $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_q = 0$ and again, $\delta \in \mathfrak{h}$. ■

Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}_f^*$. Suppose $\text{supp}(\lambda) = \{x_1, \dots, x_s\}$. Write $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i$ where $\lambda_i \in \mathfrak{g}_f^*(x_i)$ with $\text{ord}_{x_i}(\lambda_i) = m_i$. Take $\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{g}_{q_i}$ with $q_i = \lfloor m_i/2 \rfloor$ for all $i = 1, \dots, s$. From the previous proposition, the subalgebra \mathfrak{p}_i is a polarization of \mathfrak{g} at λ_i .

LEMMA 3.4. *The Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{p} = \bigcap_{i=1}^s \mathfrak{p}_i$ is a polarization of \mathfrak{g} at λ .*

Proof. First, let us prove that $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{p}^\perp$. One recalls that $\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{p}_i^\perp, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ (by the proposition above) and then $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{p}_i^\perp \subset \mathfrak{p}^\perp$.

For the opposite inclusion, notice that every \mathfrak{p}_i is an \mathbf{A} -submodule of \mathfrak{g} . Thus \mathfrak{p} is also an \mathbf{A} -submodule of \mathfrak{g} . Let $\mathcal{P}_{q_i} = \mathcal{M}_{x_i}^{q_i+1}$. Since $\mathfrak{p} = \bigcap_{i=1}^s \mathfrak{p}_i$, then using Lemma 2.2.5(iii) we get $\mathfrak{p} = (\mathcal{P}_{q_1} \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{P}_{q_s})\mathfrak{g}$. The divisor associated to the ideal $(\mathcal{P}_{q_1} \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{P}_{q_s})\mathfrak{g}$ is $\sum_{i=1}^s (q_i + 1)x_i$. Hence $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}$ has dimension $\sum_{i=1}^s (q_i + 1)$ by Proposition 2.2.

One has $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}^\perp \leq \sum_{i=1}^s (q_i + 1)$ whereas $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{p}^\perp$.

Since $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g}^\lambda \subset \mathfrak{g}^\lambda$, then $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{g}/(\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g}^\lambda) \geq \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^\lambda$. However, $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^s 2(q_i + 1)$ by Proposition 2.25. We get that $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{g}/(\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g}^\lambda) \geq 2\sum_{i=1}^s (q_i + 1)$.

Finally we recall that $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}^\perp = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{p}/(\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g}^\lambda)$. We use $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}^\perp = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{g}/(\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g}^\lambda) - \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}$ to obtain $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}^\perp = \sum_{i=1}^s (q_i + 1)$. ■

Take $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}_f^*, \lambda = \sum_{x \in \text{supp}(\lambda)} \lambda_x$ with $\lambda_x \in \mathfrak{g}_f^*(x)$. Define the divisor E_λ of Σ as $\sum_{x \in \text{supp}(\lambda)} (\lfloor \text{ord}_x(\lambda)/2 \rfloor + 1)x$.

THEOREM 3.5. *The Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{p} = I_{E_\lambda} \mathfrak{g}$ is a polarization of \mathfrak{g} at λ where I_{E_λ} is the ideal of the divisor E_λ .*

Proof. The ideal I_{E_λ} associated to the divisor E_λ is $I_{E_\lambda} = \bigcap_{x \in \text{supp}(\lambda)} \mathcal{P}_x$ with $\mathcal{P}_x = \mathcal{M}_x^{\text{ord}_x(\lambda)+1}$. For every $x \in \text{supp}(\lambda)$, we put $\mathfrak{p}_x = \mathfrak{g}_{\lfloor m_x/2 \rfloor}$ where $m_x = \text{ord}_x(\lambda)$. One knows that \mathfrak{p}_x is a polarization of \mathfrak{g} at λ_x by the previous proposition. So by using Lemma 3.4, one has $\mathfrak{p} = \bigcap_{x \in \text{supp}(\lambda)} \mathfrak{p}_x$ is a polarization of \mathfrak{g} at λ . But, $\mathfrak{p}_x = \mathcal{P}_x \mathfrak{g}$ by Lemma 2.5(iii). Then it is easy to deduce that $\mathfrak{p} = I_{E_\lambda} \mathfrak{g}$. ■

From now on, we call this polarization of an element $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}_f^*$, the *canonical polarization associated to λ* . One should notice that in general there are some other polarizations of \mathfrak{g} at λ . An example can be found in Bennani [Be] for the Witt algebra W .

Let $x \in \Sigma$ and u be a local parameter at x . Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}_f^*(x)$ and $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g}_q$ its associated canonical polarization. This polarization is called canonical since the flag of subalgebras $\mathfrak{g} \supset \mathfrak{g}_0 \supset \mathfrak{g}_1 \supset \mathfrak{g}_2 \supset \dots$ is canonical. The construction of this canonical polarization is inspired by the finite-dimensional case as in Proposition 3.2 where the Vergne polarization was constructed. A big difference is that in our case, there is no flag of ideals since \mathfrak{g} is simple. On the other hand, one can write the flag of subalgebras above. We remark that this flag is infinite and not a flag of ideals in contrast to Vergne's flag. Then the idea consists of passing the quotient by a suitable subalgebra \mathfrak{g}_m in order to obtain a finite flag.

4. INDUCED REPRESENTATIONS OF \mathfrak{g}

It is in this section that we complete the construction of the primitive ideals mentioned in the Introduction. For that, we take representations of \mathfrak{g} induced by the canonical polarizations seen in Section 3. We will show that these representations are almost always simple. Anyway, we obtain primitive ideals of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ at the end.

Let \mathfrak{g} be an arbitrary Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field \mathbf{k} of zero characteristic. Let \mathfrak{h} be a Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Let W be an \mathfrak{h} -module with $\rho: \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \text{End}(W)$ the corresponding representation. Denote the induced representation of \mathfrak{g} by ρ as $\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(W)$. Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ and \mathfrak{p} be a polarization of \mathfrak{g} at λ . Then, λ defines a one-dimensional representation of \mathfrak{p} in \mathbf{k}_{λ} , where \mathbf{k}_{λ} is the \mathfrak{p} -module of dimension one so that $w \in \mathfrak{p}$ acts by multiplication by $\lambda(w)$. We denote the induced representation V of \mathfrak{g} by \mathbf{k}_{λ} as $V = \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$.

We state below some useful properties. Their proofs can be found, for example, in Dixmier [D, Chap. 5].

Property 4.1. Let \mathfrak{h} be a finite-codimensional Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and W be an \mathfrak{h} -module. Suppose \mathfrak{n} is an ideal of \mathfrak{g} contained in \mathfrak{h} . Let W be an \mathfrak{h} -module. Then the natural morphism $\Phi: \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(W) \rightarrow \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n}}(W)$ is an isomorphism.

Property 4.2. Let \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{t} be Lie subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{h}$. Let W be a \mathfrak{t} -module. Then $\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{t}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(W) \simeq \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{t}}^{\mathfrak{h}}(W))$.

Property 4.3. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be finite-codimensional Lie subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} . Denote $\alpha = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$. Suppose $\text{codim}_{\mathbf{k}} \alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{codim}_{\mathbf{k}} \alpha_i$. Let A_i be α_i -modules, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then $\text{Ind}_{\alpha}^{\mathfrak{g}}(A_1 \otimes \dots \otimes A_n) \simeq \text{Ind}_{\alpha_1}^{\mathfrak{g}}(A_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{Ind}_{\alpha_n}^{\mathfrak{g}}(A_n)$.

Property 4.4. Let \mathfrak{h} be a finite-codimensional Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Let W be an \mathfrak{h} -module and U be a \mathfrak{g} -module. Then $\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(W \otimes U) \simeq \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(W) \otimes U$.

Let us recall the following theorem whose proof can be found in Dixmier [D, Chap. 6, Theorem 6.1.1]:

THEOREM 4.5 (Dixmier). *Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional solvable Lie algebra and \mathcal{D} be a flag of ideals of \mathfrak{g} . Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ and $\mathfrak{p}(\lambda, \mathcal{D})$ be the Vergne polarization associated to λ . Then $\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}(\lambda, \mathcal{D})}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ is simple.*

Consider again \mathfrak{g} as the Lie algebra of vector fields on Σ . Let $x \in \Sigma$. We want to prove the simplicity of the induced representation $\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ when λ has support at one point x and when \mathfrak{p} is the canonical polarization associated to λ by Proposition 3.3. The simplicity of this representation is fundamental in the proof of the simplicity of $\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$, when λ has finite support.

Recall the decreasing sequence $\mathfrak{g} \supset \mathfrak{g}_0 \supset \mathfrak{g}_1 \supset \mathfrak{g}_2 \supset \dots$ constructed in Section 3. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then one has $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_k \supset \mathfrak{g}_0/\mathfrak{g}_k \supset \mathfrak{g}_1/\mathfrak{g}_k \supset \mathfrak{g}_2/\mathfrak{g}_k \supset \dots \supset \mathfrak{g}_{k-1}/\mathfrak{g}_k$. Since $[\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_0] \subset \mathfrak{g}_i$ (see Lemma 2.5(i)), then the subalgebra \mathfrak{g}_i is an ideal of \mathfrak{g}_0 . Hence, $\mathfrak{g}_0/\mathfrak{g}_k \triangleright \mathfrak{g}_1/\mathfrak{g}_k \triangleright \mathfrak{g}_2/\mathfrak{g}_k \triangleright \dots \triangleright \mathfrak{g}_{k-1}/\mathfrak{g}_k$. We conclude that $\mathfrak{g}_0/\mathfrak{g}_k$ is a finite-dimensional solvable Lie algebra (since $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{g}_{i-1}/\mathfrak{g}_i = 1$ (by Lemma 2.5(ii))).

LEMMA 4.6. *Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}_f^*(x)$ of order m . Let $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g}_q$ be its associated polarization where $q = [m/2]$. Let $\lambda' = \lambda|_{\mathfrak{g}_0/\mathfrak{g}_{m+1}}$. Then, \mathfrak{p} is in \mathfrak{g}_0 and $\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{g}_{m+1}$ is the Vergne polarization associated to λ' .*

Proof. Write $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i T_{x,i}$. It is clear that for all i , $\mathfrak{g}_{i+1} \subset \ker dT_{x,i}$ (by Proposition 2.20). So, $\mathfrak{g}_{m+1} \subset \ker dT_{x,i}$, for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. One deduces $\mathfrak{g}_{m+1} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\lambda}$.

Let $\alpha_i = \mathfrak{g}_{m+1-i}/\mathfrak{g}_{m+1}$, $\forall i = 0, 1, \dots, m+1$. Put $\lambda_i = \lambda'|_{\alpha_i}$, $\forall i = 0, 1, \dots, m+1$. Take $\mathfrak{q}_{m+1} = \alpha_1^{\lambda_1} + \dots + \alpha_{m+1}^{\lambda_{m+1}}$. Apply Proposition 3.2 to the flag $\mathcal{D} = \alpha_0 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft \alpha_{m+1}$ and to λ' . We obtain that $\mathfrak{p}(\lambda, \mathcal{D}) = \mathfrak{q}_{m+1}$ is a Vergne polarization associated to λ' . Now we remark that $\mathfrak{q}_{m+1} = \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{g}_{m+1}$. Indeed, $\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{g}_{m+1} = \alpha_{m+1-q} \subset \alpha_{m+1}$. Since $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}^{\perp}$, then $\alpha_{m+1-q} = \alpha_{m+1}^{\lambda_{m+1-q}}$. But $\alpha_{m+1}^{\lambda_{m+1-q}} \subset \mathfrak{q}_{m+1}$. Hence $\alpha_{m+1-q} \subset \mathfrak{q}_{m+1}$. On the other hand, if $\delta \in \mathfrak{q}_{m+1}$, then $d\lambda(\delta, \mathfrak{q}_{m+1}) = 0$. That implies that $d\lambda(\delta, \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{g}_{m+1}) = 0$. Thus $\delta \in \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{g}_{m+1}$. ■

PROPOSITION 4.7. *Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}_f^*(x)$ of order m . Let \mathfrak{p} be its associated canonical polarization. Then $\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\lambda)$ is simple.*

Proof. Using the above lemma, we know that $\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{g}_{m+1}$ is a Vergne polarization associated to $\lambda' = \lambda|_{\mathfrak{g}_0/\mathfrak{g}_{m+1}}$. We use the simplicity theorem for the finite-dimensional solvable case (4.5) and it results that $\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{g}_{m+1}}^{\mathfrak{g}_0/\mathfrak{g}_{m+1}}(\lambda) = \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}_{m+1}}^{\mathfrak{g}_{m+1}}(\lambda')$ is simple. It is enough now to use Property 4.1 and thus $\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\lambda) \simeq \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{g}_{m+1}}^{\mathfrak{g}_0/\mathfrak{g}_{m+1}}(\lambda)$. ■

We know that \mathfrak{g}_0 has codimension one in \mathfrak{g} . A basis of $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_0$ is $\{\tilde{e}\}$ (by Lemma 2.5(ii)), where \tilde{e} denotes the class of d/du in $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_0$. We denote also $e = d/du$. From now on, consider α as the character of \mathfrak{g}_0 so that $\alpha(u d/du) = 1$ (i.e., $\alpha = T_{x,1}$).

LEMMA 4.8. *Let W be a \mathfrak{g}_0 -module with $\rho: \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \text{End}(W)$. Let $L = \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{\mathfrak{g}} W$ and $\{L_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the canonical filtration of L . Then $[z, e] + \alpha(z)e$ belongs to \mathfrak{g}_0 and $z \cdot (e^n \otimes w) = e^n \otimes (\rho - n\alpha)(z)w + e^{n-1} \otimes (n\rho([z, e] + \alpha(z)e) - \binom{n}{2}\alpha[z, e])w + L_{n-2}$ for all $z \in \mathfrak{g}_0$, $w \in W$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Let $z \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have the formula (see Dixmier [D, Chap. 2, Sect. 2]) $ze^n = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} e^{n-i} [z, \overbrace{e, \dots, e}^i]$ where $[z, \overbrace{e, \dots, e}^i] = [[z, e], e], \dots, e]$. It follows from this expression that $ze^n = e^n z + ne^{n-1}[z, e] + \binom{n}{2}e^{n-2}[z, e, e] + \mathcal{Z}_{n-3}(\mathfrak{g})$. Therefore $ze^n = e^n z + ne^{n-1}([z, e] + \alpha(z)e) - n\alpha(z)e^n + \binom{n}{2}e^{n-2}([z, e, e] + \alpha([z, e])e) - \binom{n}{2}\alpha([z, e])e^{n-1} + \mathcal{Z}_{n-2}(\mathfrak{g})$. But $[z, e] + \alpha(z)e$ belongs to \mathfrak{g}_0 . Indeed, $\alpha([z, e]) = -\alpha(z)e$. Also $[z, e, e] + \alpha([z, e])e$ belongs to \mathfrak{g}_0 . That implies that for all $w \in W$, $z \cdot (e^n \otimes w) = e^n \otimes (\rho - n\alpha)(z)w + e^{n-1} \otimes (n\rho([z, e] + \alpha(z)e) - \binom{n}{2}\alpha[z, e])w + L_{n-2}$. ■

PROPOSITION 4.9. *Let W be a simple \mathfrak{g}_0 -module. Let $L = \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{\mathfrak{g}} W$ and $\{L_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be its canonical filtration. Let M be a non-trivial \mathfrak{g} -submodule of L . Then, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $L \simeq L_n \oplus M$ as \mathfrak{g}_0 -modules.*

Proof. We have a \mathfrak{g} -module homomorphism $M \hookrightarrow L \xrightarrow{\varphi} N$ with $N \simeq L/M$. Put $\varphi(L_n) = N_n, \forall n$. Denote $\rho: \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \text{End}(W)$. Thanks to Lemma 4.8, we obtain a \mathfrak{g}_0 -module homomorphism $\mathbf{k}\tilde{e}^{n+1} \otimes W \simeq \mathbf{k}_{\rho - (n+1)\alpha} \otimes W$. Indeed, one can verify that $z \cdot (\tilde{e}^{n+1} \otimes w) = \tilde{e}^{n+1} \otimes (\rho - (n+1)\alpha)(z)w$, for all $z \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. So $L_{n+1}/L_n \simeq \mathbf{k}\tilde{e}^{n+1} \otimes W \simeq \mathbf{k}_{\rho - (n+1)\alpha} \otimes W$. One concludes that L_{n+1}/L_n is a simple \mathfrak{g}_0 -module since W is a simple \mathfrak{g}_0 -module. Take n minimum such that $L_{n+1} \cap M \neq 0$. There exists a natural inclusion $f: (M \cap L_{n+1}) \hookrightarrow L_{n+1}/L_n$. But L_{n+1}/L_n is simple. Henceforth f is an isomorphism. From that it results $N_{n+1} = L_{n+1}/(M \cap L_{n+1}) \simeq L_n$.

As a consequence, using the fact that M is a \mathfrak{g} -module, we conclude that $L_{n+2}/(M \cap L_{n+2})$ is isomorphic to a \mathfrak{g}_0 -submodule of L_n . By induction, we have that $L_{n+s}/(M \cap L_{n+s})$ is also isomorphic to a \mathfrak{g}_0 -submodule of L_n , $\forall s \geq 0$. Finally, we have the following exact sequence that splits,

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow L \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \\ \xleftarrow{\psi} \end{matrix} N \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\psi \circ \varphi = \text{Id}$ and $\psi(y + m) = y + m, \forall y \in L_{n+s}/(M \cap L_{n+s}) \subset L_n, \forall m \in M$. Indeed, $\varphi \circ \psi(y + m) = \varphi(y + m) = y + m$ and $\psi \circ \varphi(y) = \psi(z + m) = z + m = y$. Therefore, $L \simeq L_n \oplus M$. ■

The following lemma is obvious:

LEMMA 4.10. *Let M and N be \mathfrak{g}_0 -modules. Let μ be a character of \mathfrak{g}_0 . Then, $\text{Ext}^1(M \otimes \mathbf{k}_\mu, N \otimes \mathbf{k}_\mu) \simeq \text{Ext}^1(M, N)$.*

LEMMA 4.11. *Let W be a simple \mathfrak{g}_0 -module with $\rho: \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \text{End}(W)$. Let $A(z) = \rho([z, e] + \alpha(z)e)$ and $b(z) = \alpha([z, e])$, for all $z \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. Put $B = b \otimes \text{Id}_W$. Then,*

(1) b is a 1-cocycle with values in $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{k}\tilde{e}, \mathbf{k})$ and B is a 1-cocycle with values in $\text{Hom}(W \otimes \mathbf{k}\tilde{e}, W)$.

(2) A is a 1-cocycle with values in $\text{Hom}(W \otimes \mathbf{k}\tilde{e}, W)$.

Proof. For all $z, v \in \mathfrak{g}_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} [[z, v], e] &= [[z, e] + \alpha(z)e, v] + \alpha(z)([v, e] + \alpha(v)e) \\ &\quad + [z, [v, e] + \alpha(v)e] - \alpha(v)([z, e] + \alpha(z)e). \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Remark that $\alpha[\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_0] = 0$ and $[z, e] + \alpha(z)e$ and $[v, e] + \alpha(v)e$ are in \mathfrak{g}_0 .

(1) Let us show that b is a 1-cocycle with values in $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{k}\tilde{e}, \mathbf{k})$. We need to show that $b[z, v] = \alpha(z)b(v) - \alpha(v)b(z)$, i.e., $\alpha[[z, v], e] = \alpha(z)\alpha[v, e] - \alpha(v)\alpha[z, e]$ for all $z, v \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. That comes from the expression (4.1) (recall $\alpha[\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_0] = 0$). In particular, it also follows that $B = b \otimes \text{Id}_W$ is a 1-cocycle with values in $\text{Hom}(W \otimes \mathbf{k}\tilde{e}, W)$.

(2) Put $\rho_1: \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \text{End}(W \otimes \mathbf{k}e)$ with $\rho_1 = \rho - \alpha$. We need to prove that $A[z, v] = \rho \circ A(v) - A(v) \circ \rho_1(z) - \rho(v) \circ A(z) + A(z) \circ \rho_1(v)$ for all $z, v \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. It is enough to show that $A[z, v] = [\rho(z), \rho([v, e] - \alpha(v)e)] + \alpha(z)\rho([v, e] + \alpha(v)e) - [\rho(v), \rho([z, e] + \alpha(z)e)] - \alpha(v)\rho([z, e] + \alpha(z)e)$. Just use the formula (4.1). ■

LEMMA 4.12. *Using the notations of Lemma 4.11, put for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\xi_n(z) = nA(z) - \binom{n}{2}B(z)$ for $z \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. Take $L = \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{\mathfrak{g}}(W)$. Then, the extension in $\text{Ext}^1(W \otimes \mathbf{k}\tilde{e}^{n+1}, W \otimes \mathbf{k}\tilde{e}^n)$ associated to ξ_n is $0 \rightarrow L_n/L_{n-1} \rightarrow L_{n+1}/L_{n-1} \rightarrow L_{n+1}/L_n \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. Using Lemma 4.11, we can see that $[A]$ and $[B]$ are in $\text{Ext}^1(W \otimes \mathbf{k}\tilde{e}, W)$. So, $[\xi_n] \in \text{Ext}^1(W \otimes \mathbf{k}\tilde{e}, W)$. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow W \xrightarrow{\varphi} W \oplus (W \otimes \mathbf{k}\tilde{e}) \xrightarrow{\psi} W \otimes \mathbf{k}\tilde{e} \rightarrow 0, \quad (4.2)$$

where $\varphi(w) = (w, 0)$ and $\psi(w, w' \otimes \tilde{e}) = w' \otimes \tilde{e}$. Since $[\xi_n]$ is a cocycle in $\text{Ext}^1(W \otimes \mathbf{k}\tilde{e}, W)$, then we have a \mathfrak{g}_0 -module structure on $W \oplus (W \otimes \mathbf{k}\tilde{e})$ given by $z \cdot (w, w' \otimes \tilde{e}) = (\rho(z)w + \xi_n(z)(w' \otimes \tilde{e}), \rho_1(z)(w' \otimes \tilde{e}))$.

That implies that the exact sequence (4.2) is the sequence associated to $[\xi_n]$ in $\text{Ext}^1(W \otimes \mathbf{k}\tilde{e}, W)$. However, $\text{Ext}^1(W \otimes \mathbf{k}\tilde{e}^{n+1}, W \otimes \mathbf{k}\tilde{e}^n) \simeq \text{Ext}^1(W \otimes \mathbf{k}\tilde{e}, W)$ by Lemma 4.10. Besides, $L_n/L_{n-1} \simeq W \otimes \mathbf{k}\tilde{e}^n$, $L_{n+1}/L_{n-1} \simeq W \otimes \mathbf{k}\tilde{e}^n \oplus W \otimes \mathbf{k}\tilde{e}^{n+1}$ and $L_{n+1}/L_n \simeq W \otimes \mathbf{k}\tilde{e}^{n+1}$. So we conclude that the sequence $0 \rightarrow L_n/L_{n-1} \rightarrow L_{n+1}/L_{n-1} \rightarrow L_{n+1}/L_n \rightarrow 0$ is associated to the cocycle $[\xi_n] \in \text{Ext}^1(W \otimes \mathbf{k}\tilde{e}^{n+1}, W \otimes \mathbf{k}\tilde{e}^n)$. \blacksquare

PROPOSITION 4.13. *Let W be a simple \mathfrak{g}_0 -module with $\rho: \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \text{End}(W)$. Suppose $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_0 \otimes W \simeq W$ as \mathfrak{g}_0 -modules. Then, either $L = \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{\mathfrak{g}} W$ is a simple \mathfrak{g} -module or W can be extended to a \mathfrak{g} -module.*

Proof. If L is not simple, then from Proposition 4.9, one can find a \mathfrak{g} -submodule M of L and $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $L \simeq L_r \oplus M$. Recall the formula (see Lemma 4.8)

$$z \cdot (e^n \otimes w) = e^n \otimes (\rho - n\alpha)(z)w + e^{n-1} \otimes \left(n\rho([z, e] + \alpha(z)e) - \binom{n}{2}\alpha[z, e] \right) w + L_{n-2}.$$

Put $A(z) = \rho([z, e] + \alpha(z)e)$ and $B(z) = \alpha([z, e])$. We put also $\xi_n(z) = nA(z) - \binom{n}{2}B(z)$. Thus using Lemma 4.12, we have $[\xi_n] \in \text{Ext}^1(W \otimes \mathbf{k}\tilde{e}, W) \simeq \text{Ext}^1(W \otimes \mathbf{k}\tilde{e}^{n+1}, W \otimes \mathbf{k}\tilde{e}^n)$.

The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow L_r/L_{r-1} \rightarrow L_{r+1}/L_{r-1} \rightarrow L_{r+1}/L_r \rightarrow 0$ splits since $L \simeq L_r \oplus M$. We showed in Lemma 4.12 that this sequence is associated to the cocycle $[\xi_r]$. Then $[\xi_r] = 0$ in $\text{Ext}^1(W \otimes \mathbf{k}\tilde{e}, W)$.

Since one has $M \simeq \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{\mathfrak{g}}(W \otimes (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_0)^{\otimes(n+1)})$ by Proposition 4.9, then $M \simeq L$. One can write then $M \simeq M_r \oplus N$ for a certain \mathfrak{g} -module N of M where $\{M_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is the canonical filtration of M . That implies that the exact

sequence below splits:

$$0 \rightarrow L_{2r}/L_{2r-1} \rightarrow L_{2r+1}/L_{2r-1} \rightarrow L_{2r+1}/L_{2r} \rightarrow 0.$$

This sequence is associated to the cocycle $[\xi_{2r}]$. Hence $[\xi_{2r}] = 0$ in $\text{Ext}^1(W \otimes \mathbf{k}\tilde{e}, W)$. We have then $[\xi_r] = [\xi_{2r}] = 0$, and thus $[\xi_r] = 0$. But $[\xi_r] = r[A] - \binom{r}{2}[B]$, therefore $[A] = [B] = 0$.

From $[\rho([z, e] + \alpha(z)e)] = 0$, it follows that there exists $\theta \in \text{Hom}(W \otimes \mathbf{k}\tilde{e}, W)$ such that $\rho([z, e] + \alpha(z)e) = \rho(z) \circ \theta - \theta \circ \rho_1(z)$ where $\rho_1: \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \text{End}(W \otimes \mathbf{k}\tilde{e})$ is given by $\rho_1(z) = (\rho - \alpha)(z)$. Thus $\rho([z, e] + \alpha(z)e) = [\rho(z), \theta] + \alpha(z)\theta$.

Define $\pi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(W)$ so that $\pi|_{\mathfrak{g}_0} = \rho$ and $\pi(e) = \theta$. We compute $\pi[z, e] = \rho([z, e] + \alpha(z)e) - \alpha(z)\theta = [\rho(z), \theta]$. That means that the \mathfrak{g}_0 -module W can be extended to a \mathfrak{g} -module. \blacksquare

Take $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}_f^*(x)$. Let \mathfrak{p} be its associated canonical polarization. Let $W = \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\lambda)$ where $\rho: \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \text{End}(W)$ denotes the representation of \mathfrak{g}_0 corresponding to W . We proved in Proposition 4.7 that W is a simple \mathfrak{g}_0 -module. Let $L = \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{\mathfrak{g}}(W) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} e^n \otimes W$. Let $L_n = \bigoplus_{r \leq n} e^r \otimes W$ be given by the canonical filtration of L .

We need the results below in order to prove the simplicity of L .

PROPOSITION 4.14. *Suppose $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g}_0$ and $\lambda|_{\mathfrak{g}_0} \neq 0$. Then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $v \in L_n$, there exists $\tilde{u} \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ such that $\tilde{u} \cdot v \in L_0$ and $\tilde{u} \cdot v \neq 0$.*

Proof. Let w be the generator of W as a $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ -module. We take $\tilde{u} = u \, d/du \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. One has $\tilde{u} \cdot w = \lambda(\tilde{u})w$. Since $\lambda|_{\mathfrak{g}_0} \neq 0$, then $\tilde{u} \cdot w \neq 0$.

For $n \geq 1$, we take $\tilde{u} = u^{n+1} \, d/du \in \mathfrak{g}_n$. Let $v = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i e^i \otimes w \in L_n$ with $\alpha_i \in \mathbf{k}$ and $\alpha_n \neq 0$. We know that

$$\left[\tilde{u}, \overbrace{e, \dots, e}^{j \text{ times}} \right] = (-1)^j (n+1) \cdots (n-j+2) u^{n-j+1} \frac{d}{du}.$$

Observe that $\text{ord}_x(\lambda) = 1$ whereas $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g}_0$ and $\lambda|_{\mathfrak{g}_0} \neq 0$. Hence $\lambda(\mathfrak{g}_i) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$. That implies

$$\tilde{u} \cdot v = \alpha_n \left[\tilde{u}, \overbrace{e, \dots, e}^{n \text{ times}} \right] \otimes w = 1 \otimes (-1)^n (n+1)! \alpha_n \lambda \left(u \frac{d}{du} \right) w \in L_0.$$

Moreover, it is clear that $\tilde{u} \cdot v \neq 0$ since $\alpha_n \neq 0$ and $\lambda|_{\mathfrak{g}_0} \neq 0$. Then $\tilde{u} \cdot w \neq 0$. \blacksquare

PROPOSITION 4.15. *Suppose $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g}_0$. Then $\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{\mathfrak{g}}(W)$ is simple if, and only if, $\text{ord}_x(\lambda) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Assume $\text{ord}_x(\lambda) \neq 0$. Thus $\text{ord}_x(\lambda) = 1$ and $\lambda|_{\mathfrak{g}_0} \neq 0$. Write $W = \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_0)\mathbf{k}_\lambda$. Suppose that there is M a \mathfrak{g} -submodule of $\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{\mathfrak{g}}(W)$. Let $v \in M$, $v \neq 0$. Then $v \in L_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By the previous proposition, there exists $\tilde{u} \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ so that $\tilde{u} \cdot v \in W$ and $\tilde{u}v \neq 0$. Hence, $M \cap W \neq 0$. Therefore $M \cap W = W$ since W is simple. Consequently, $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})W \subset M$. That implies $L \subset M$.

If $\text{ord}_x(\lambda) = 0$ then $\lambda|_{\mathfrak{g}_0} = 0$, and thus $\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} e^n \otimes W$ is a \mathfrak{g} -submodule of $\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{\mathfrak{g}}(W)$. ■

PROPOSITION 4.16. *The polarization \mathfrak{p} is contained in \mathfrak{g}_1 if, and only if, $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_0 \otimes W \simeq W$ (as \mathfrak{g}_0 -modules).*

Proof. Suppose $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{g}_1$. Then, $\alpha(\mathfrak{p}) = 0$ (recall α denotes $T_{x,1}$). Therefore $\mathbf{k}_\lambda \simeq \mathbf{k}_{\lambda+\alpha}$ as \mathfrak{p} -modules. By Property 4.4, it results that $\mathbf{k}_\alpha \otimes \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\mathbf{k}_\lambda) \simeq \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\mathbf{k}_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{k}_\lambda)$. Finally, since $\mathbf{k}_\alpha \simeq \mathbf{k}\tilde{e}$, one has $\mathbf{k}\tilde{e} \otimes W \simeq \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\mathbf{k}_{\alpha+\lambda}) \simeq W$.

Conversely, assume that $\mathfrak{p} \not\subset \mathfrak{g}_1$. Hence $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g}_0$. Then W is equal to \mathbf{k}_λ , the one dimensional $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ -module. It is clear that $\mathbf{k}_\lambda \neq \mathbf{k}_{\lambda+\alpha}$ as \mathfrak{g}_0 -modules. Henceforth $\mathbf{k}e \otimes W \simeq \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_0)\mathbf{k}_{\lambda+\alpha} \neq \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_0)\mathbf{k}_\lambda = W$. ■

Finally we have:

THEOREM 4.17. *Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}_f^*(x)$. Then the representation $\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{\mathfrak{g}}(W)$ is simple if, and only if, $\text{ord}_x(\lambda) > 0$.*

Proof. Suppose $\text{ord}_x(\lambda) \geq 1$. Then $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{g}_1$ or $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g}_0$.

If $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_1$, then by Proposition 4.16 it follows that $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_0 \otimes W \simeq W$ (as \mathfrak{g}_0 -modules).

So either $L = \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{\mathfrak{g}}(W)$ is simple or W possesses a \mathfrak{g} -module structure that extends its \mathfrak{g}_0 -module structure (by Proposition 4.13). Suppose that L is not simple. Let $\pi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(W)$ so that $\pi|_{\mathfrak{g}_0} = \rho$ where $\rho: \mathfrak{g}_0 \rightarrow \text{End}(W)$ denotes the representation of \mathfrak{g}_0 corresponding to W . Let $m = \text{ord}_x(\lambda)$. We have $\ker \rho \neq 0$ since $\mathfrak{g}_m \subset \ker \rho$. Indeed, recall that $\mathfrak{g}_m \subset \ker \lambda$ and that $z \in \mathfrak{g}_m \subset \mathfrak{p}$ acts on W by multiplication by $\lambda(z)$. Therefore, $\ker \rho = \mathfrak{g}_0$ since W is simple. Hence $\mathfrak{g}_0 \subset \ker \pi$. That implies $\ker \pi \neq 0$. Since \mathfrak{g} is a simple Lie algebra by (1.4e), we get a contradiction. Thus $L = \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{\mathfrak{g}}(W)$ is simple.

When $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g}_0$, the \mathfrak{g} -module $\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{\mathfrak{g}}(W)$ is simple as shown in Proposition 4.15.

Suppose $\text{ord}_x(\lambda) \leq 0$. If $\text{ord}_x(\lambda) = -1$, then $\lambda \equiv 0$. This case is not interesting. If $\text{ord}_x(\lambda) = 0$, then $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g}_0$ and from Proposition 4.15, we conclude that $\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{\mathfrak{g}}(W)$ is not simple. ■

Finally one has:

THEOREM 4.18. *Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}_f^*(x)$ and \mathfrak{p} be its associated canonical polarization. Then the representation $\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ is simple if, and only if, $\text{ord}_x(\lambda) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Consider $\text{ord}_x(\lambda) \neq 0$. Then from the previous theorem we obtain the simplicity of $\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\lambda))$. The theorem follows then from Property 4.2.

The case $\text{ord}_x(\lambda) = 0$ is also a direct consequence of Theorem 4.17. ■

We want to prove now the simplicity of the induced representations by elements of \mathfrak{g}_f^* . Recall the following proposition that describes the simplicity of a \mathfrak{g} -module (Jacobson Density Theorem). The proof of this proposition can be found for example in Dixmier [D, Chap. 2, Sect. 6].

PROPOSITION 4.19. *The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) V is a simple \mathfrak{g} -module.
- (ii) For all x_1, \dots, x_n linearly independent and $y_1, \dots, y_n \in V$, there exists $u \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $ux_i = y_i$.
- (iii) For all E_1 and E_2 finite-dimensional subspaces of V , one has a bijection $I_{E_1, E_2} \rightarrow \text{End}(E_1, E_2)$ where $I_{E_1, E_2} = \{u \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \mid uE_1 \subset E_2\}$.

The results below will be used to prove the simplicity.

LEMMA 4.20. *Let $x \in \Sigma$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}_f^*(x)$. Let \mathfrak{p} be its associated canonical polarization. Let $V = \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ and v be a generator of \mathbf{k}_{λ} . Then, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathfrak{g}_k v = 0$.*

Proof. Let $m = \text{ord}_x(\lambda)$. It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{g}_m v = 0$ since $\mathfrak{g}_m \subset \ker \lambda$ and \mathfrak{g}_m is contained in \mathfrak{p} (an element z acts on v by multiplication by $\lambda(z)$). ■

PROPOSITION 4.21. *With the same notation as in Lemma 4.20, let E be a finite-dimensional subspace of V . Then, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathfrak{g}_k \cdot z = 0$, for all $z \in E$.*

Proof. One has $E \subset \mathcal{Z}_n(\mathfrak{g})v$ for some n . By Lemma 2.6(ii), for all $z \in E$, one has $\mathfrak{g}_{m+n} \cdot z \subset \mathfrak{g}_{m+n} \mathcal{Z}_n(\mathfrak{g})v \subset \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{g}_m v$ where $m = \text{ord}_x(\lambda)$. Hence $\mathfrak{g}_{m+n} \cdot z = 0$ by the above lemma. ■

PROPOSITION 4.22. *With the same notation as in Lemma 4.20, let E_1, \dots, E_s be finite-dimensional subspaces of V . Let $z \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then, there exists $y \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $y \cdot e_1 = z \cdot e_1$, for all $e_1 \in E_1$ and $y \cdot e_i = 0$, for all $e_i \in E_i$ whenever $i \neq 1$.*

Proof. By the previous proposition, we can find k_1, \dots, k_s in \mathbb{N} for E_1, \dots, E_s . Then it is enough to find $y - z \in \mathfrak{g}_{k_1}$ and $y \in \mathfrak{g}_{k_i}$ for $i \neq 1$.

Write $z = f d/du$. By (1.3), there exists $h \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}} = \bigcap_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}_{x_i}$ such that $h \equiv f \pmod{(u_1^{k_1})}$ and $h \equiv 0 \pmod{(u_i^{k_i})}$, $i \neq 1$, where u_1, \dots, u_s are local parameters at x_1, \dots, x_s , respectively. We take then $y = h d/du$. ■

PROPOSITION 4.23. *With the same notation as in Lemma 4.20, let E_1, \dots, E_s be finite-dimensional subspaces of V . Let $z \in \mathcal{Z}_m(\mathfrak{g})$. Then, there exists $\tilde{z} \in \mathcal{Z}_m(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $\tilde{z} \cdot (e_1 \otimes \dots \otimes e_s) = (z \cdot e_1) \otimes e_2 \otimes \dots \otimes e_s$, for all $e_1, \dots, e_s \in E_1, \dots, E_s$.*

Proof. Suppose $m = 1$. By the previous proposition, we can find $y \in \mathfrak{g}$ so that $y \cdot e_1 = z \cdot e_1$, $\forall e_1 \in E_1$ and $y \cdot e_i = 0$, $\forall e_i \in E_i$ for $i \neq 1$. Therefore, we have $y \cdot (e_1 \otimes \dots \otimes e_s) = \sum_{i=1}^s e_1 \otimes \dots \otimes (y \cdot e_i) \otimes \dots \otimes e_s = (y \cdot e_1) \otimes \dots \otimes e_s$.

For an arbitrary m , we use induction. Let $z \in \mathcal{Z}_m(\mathfrak{g})$. We write $z = \sum z_i$ where each z_i is of the form $z_i = y_i v_i$, with $v_i \in \mathcal{Z}_{m-1}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $y_i \in \mathfrak{g}$. By induction, \tilde{v}_i belongs to $\mathcal{Z}_{m-1}(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $\tilde{v}_i \cdot e_1 = v_i \cdot e_i$, $\forall e_1 \in E_1$ and $\tilde{v}_i \cdot e_i = 0$, $\forall e_i \in E_i$ for $i \neq 1$. By (1.3), there exists $\tilde{y}_i \in \mathfrak{g}$ so that $\tilde{y}_i v_i \cdot e_1 = y_i v_i \cdot e_1$, $\forall e_1 \in E_1$ and $\tilde{y}_i \cdot e_i = 0$, $\forall e_i \in E_i$, $i \neq 1$.

We take $\tilde{z}_i = \tilde{y}_i \tilde{v}_i \in \mathcal{Z}_m(\mathfrak{g})$. Put $\tilde{z} = \sum \tilde{z}_i$ and the result follows. ■

THEOREM 4.24. *Let $x_1, \dots, x_s \in \Sigma$. Let $V_i = \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda_i)$ where $\lambda_i \in \mathfrak{g}_f^*(x_i)$ and \mathfrak{p}_i is the canonical polarization associated to λ_i , $i = 1, \dots, s$. Suppose $\text{ord}_{x_i}(\lambda_i) \neq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, s$. Then $V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_s$ is a simple \mathfrak{g} -module.*

Proof. Let $E = E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_s$ and $F = F_1 \otimes \dots \otimes F_s$ be finite-dimensional subspaces of $V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_s$, where E_i, F_i are subspaces of V_i , $i = 1, \dots, s$.

Let $\Phi \in \text{End}(E_1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_s, F_1 \otimes \dots \otimes F_s) \simeq \text{End}(E_1, F_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{End}(E_s, F_s)$. Therefore one can write $\Phi = \varphi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_s$ with $\varphi_i \in \text{End}(E_i, F_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, s$.

To show the simplicity of $V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_s$, we need to find $a \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $a \cdot (e_1 \otimes \dots \otimes e_s) = \Phi(e_1 \otimes \dots \otimes e_s)$ for all $e_1, \dots, e_s \in E_1, \dots, E_s$ (by Proposition 4.19).

Using the simplicity of induced representations proved before (see Theorem 4.18) and by Proposition 4.19, we find $z_i \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ so that $z_i|_{E_i} \subset F_i$, $i = 1, \dots, s$ and moreover, $\varphi_i(y) = z_i \cdot y$, $\forall y \in E_i$, $i = 1, \dots, s$. Since $z_i \in \mathcal{Z}_{n_i}(\mathfrak{g})$ for some $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$, we write then $z_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n_i} z_{i,j}$ where $z_{i,j} \in \mathcal{Z}_j(\mathfrak{g}) \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{j-1}(\mathfrak{g})$.

For every $i = 1, \dots, s$, we want to find $\tilde{z}_i \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ so that $\tilde{z}_i \cdot (e_1 \otimes \dots \otimes e_s) = (1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1 \otimes z_i \otimes 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1)(e_1 \otimes \dots \otimes e_i \otimes \dots \otimes e_s)$ for all $e_1, \dots, e_s \in E_1, \dots, E_s$. That results directly from Proposition 4.23.

So, let us put $a = \tilde{z}_1 \cdots \tilde{z}_s \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$. We have for all $e_1, \dots, e_s \in E_1, \dots, E_s$,

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot (e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_s) &= \tilde{z}_1 \cdots \tilde{z}_s \cdot (e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_s) \\ &= \tilde{z}_1 \cdots \tilde{z}_{s-1} \cdot (e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{s-1} \otimes z_s \cdot e_s) \\ &= z_1 \cdot e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes z_s \cdot e_s \\ &= (\varphi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_s)(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_s) = \Phi(e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_s). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_s$ is a simple \mathfrak{g} -module. \blacksquare

THEOREM 4.25. *Let $\lambda = \sum_{x \in \text{supp}(\lambda)} \lambda_x \in \mathfrak{g}_f^*$ where $\lambda_x \in \mathfrak{g}_f^*(x)$ and let \mathfrak{p} be the canonical polarization associated to λ . Then, $V = \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ is a simple \mathfrak{g} -module if, and only if, $\text{ord}_x(\lambda) \neq 0$ for every $x \in \text{supp}(\lambda)$.*

Proof. Let $\text{supp}(\lambda) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Put $V_i = \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}_{x_i}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda_{x_i})$, for all $i = 1, \dots, s$.

Suppose $\text{ord}_x(\lambda) \neq 0$ for all $x \in \text{supp}(\lambda)$. We have $\mathfrak{p} = \bigcap_{x \in \text{supp}(\lambda)} \mathfrak{p}_x$ (by Theorem 3.5) where \mathfrak{p}_x is the canonical polarization associated to λ_x . So by Property 4.3, we obtain $V \simeq V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_s$. It is enough now to apply the previous theorem.

If $\text{ord}_x(\lambda) = 0$ for some $x \in \text{supp}(\lambda)$, then by Theorem 4.18, one of the V_i 's is not simple. Then $V \simeq V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_s$ is not simple. \blacksquare

5. A DIXMIER MAP

5.1. *The Orbits of \mathfrak{g}_f^* .* In order to define the orbits of \mathfrak{g}_f^* without having the action of a group, we are going to define a equivalence relation in this space. Naturally, one is inspired by the finite-dimensional case.

Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. Let \mathfrak{m}_λ be the prime ideal which defines λ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g})$. That is, $\mathfrak{m}_\lambda = \{u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}) \mid \lambda(u) = 0\}$. Recall that the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} acts on $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g})$ by the adjoint action. Let \mathcal{I}_λ be the biggest \mathfrak{g} -invariant prime ideal contained in \mathfrak{m}_λ . The existence of this ideal is given by Lemma 3.3.2 in Dixmier [D, Chap. 3].

We define the relation \sim on \mathfrak{g}_f^* : for all λ, μ in \mathfrak{g}_f^* , $\lambda \sim \mu \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \mathcal{I}_\lambda = \mathcal{I}_\mu$. It is clear that this relation is an equivalence relation.

5.2. *A Dixmier Map.* First, we show that one can associate a primitive ideal of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ to any element of \mathfrak{g}_f^* by taking the annihilator of the induced representations constructed in Section 4.

THEOREM 5.1. *For every $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}_f^*$, $\text{Ann}_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})} \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ is a primitive ideal of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$.*

Proof. If $\text{ord}_x(\lambda) \neq 0$ for all $x \in \text{supp}(\lambda)$, then Theorem 4.25 says that $V = \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ is a simple \mathfrak{g} -module. Therefore $\text{Ann}_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})}V$ is obviously a primitive ideal.

Write $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i$ with $\lambda_i \in \mathfrak{g}_f^*(x_i)$ where $\text{supp}(\lambda) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Now, put $\text{ord}_{x_i}(\lambda) = m_i$ and suppose $m_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$ ($s \leq n$). So the canonical polarization associated to λ is $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g}_0^{x_1} \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{g}_0^{x_s} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{[m_s^{x_s+1}/2]}^{x_{s+1}} \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{g}_{[m_n^{x_n}/2]}^{x_n}$. Consider $\mu_i = \lambda_i + \alpha_i$ where α_i is the character of $\mathfrak{g}_0^{x_i}$. We can define then the following exact sequence as \mathfrak{g} -modules $0 \rightarrow \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mu_i) \rightarrow \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda_i) \rightarrow \mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0$ where we consider \mathbf{k} as a trivial \mathfrak{g} -module. Denote $V_i = \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda_i)$ and $U_i = \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mu_i)$. Also denote $I_i = \text{Ann}_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})}V_i$ and $J_i = \text{Ann}_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})}U_i$. It follows from the exact sequence that J_i contains I_i . Now define $P_i = \mathcal{Z}^+(\mathfrak{g})$ (the augmentation ideal) where $\mathcal{Z}^+(\mathfrak{g})$ denotes $\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{Z}_n(\mathfrak{g})$. We have that $P_i V_i \subset U_i$. That implies that $J_i P_i V_i = 0$. Therefore $J_i P_i \subset I_i$. The ideal I_i is completely prime (see Remark 5.4), hence either $P_i \subset I_i$ or $J_i \subset I_i$. But P_i has codimension one in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ while I_i has infinite codimension (since $\{e_i^n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is not in I_i where e_i denotes the element in \mathfrak{g} but not in \mathfrak{p}_i). Therefore $J_i \subset I_i$. We conclude that $I_i = J_i$.

To get the final result about λ , one needs to take the tensor products $V \simeq \otimes V_i \hookrightarrow U \simeq \otimes U_i$ where $U = \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mu)$. We then obtain $\text{Ann}_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})} \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mu) = \text{Ann}_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})} \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$. ■

Let us now define a map $\mathbf{I}: \mathfrak{g}_f^*/\sim \rightarrow \text{Prim } \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ by $\mathbf{I}(\{\lambda\}) = \text{Ann}_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})} \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ where $\{\lambda\}$ denotes the equivalence class of $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}_f^*$. Let \mathfrak{p} be the canonical polarization associated to λ .

Conjecture 1. The map \mathbf{I} is well defined.

5.3. About the Gelfand–Kirillov Dimension

Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}_f^*$. Let \mathfrak{p} be its associated canonical polarization. Set $L = \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$. Let \mathfrak{b} be a subspace of \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{b}$. Let $\sigma: \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ be the symmetrization. We have a bijection $\sigma \otimes 1: \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{b}) \otimes \mathbf{k}_{\lambda} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{p})} \mathbf{k}_{\lambda}$. Then we can write $L \simeq \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{b}) \otimes \mathbf{k}_{\lambda}$.

PROPOSITION 5.2. *The Gelfand–Kirillov dimension of $\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ is equal to the dimension of $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p}$.*

Proof. Set $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{b} = n$. We have $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{b}) \otimes \mathbf{k}_{\lambda} \simeq \mathbf{k}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. The polynomial ring $\mathbf{k}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ has Gelfand–Kirillov dimension n . ■

Recall that for an arbitrary Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} the coinduced representation of \mathfrak{g} by ρ is the left $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{h})}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}), W)$ where \mathfrak{h} is a Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and W is an \mathfrak{h} -module with $\rho: \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \text{End}(W)$.

Let θ be the coinduced representation of \mathfrak{g} by λ . Let Θ be the representation of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ deduced from θ .

LEMMA 5.3. Set $V = \text{Coind}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda)$ and $F = \text{Coind}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(0)$. Consider V as a free F -module of rank 1. Then $\Theta(\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})) \subset \text{Diff}(V)$.

Proof. In fact, we show that an element of \mathfrak{g} can be seen as a differential operator of order 1 on V .

Let π be the coinduced representation $\pi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(F)$. We know that \mathfrak{g} acts on F by derivations (see Dixmier [D]). That means that $\pi(u)(fg) = (\pi(u)(f))g + f(\pi(u)(g))$ for $u \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $f, g \in F$.

For every $g \in F$ and $u \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have $(\text{ad } g)(\pi(u)) = g\pi(u) - \pi(u)g$. For every $f \in F$, $(\pi(u)g)(f) = \pi(u)(gf) = (\pi(u)(g))f + g(\pi(u)(f))$. That implies $(\text{ad } g)(\pi(u)) = \pi(u)(g) \in F$.

The elements of F are differential operators of order 0 since $(\text{ad } g)(f) = gf - fg = 0$, $\forall f, g \in F$. Then it is clear that $(\text{ad } f)(\text{ad } g)(\pi(u)) = 0$, $\forall f, g \in F$. Henceforth, $\pi(u)$ is a differential operator of order 1 on F .

Since V is a free F -module of rank 1, it follows that $\theta(\mathfrak{g})$ are differential operators of order 1 on V also. That immediately implies that $\Theta(\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}))$ is contained in $\text{Diff}(V)$. Since $I(\lambda) = \text{Ann}_{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})} L$ and $L^* \simeq V$, then we obtain $\Theta(\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})/I(\lambda)) \subset \text{Diff}(V)$. ■

Remark 5.4. Observe that this lemma gives us an embedding of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})/I(\lambda)$ in $\text{Diff}(V)$. This immediately implies that $I(\lambda)$ is a completely prime ideal.

Conjecture 2. The image of the map \mathbf{I} is exactly $\text{Prim}_{GK} \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ where $\text{Prim}_{GK} \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ denotes the set of primitive ideals I of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})/I$ has finite Gelfand–Kirillov dimension.

REFERENCES

- [AM] M. F. Atiyah and I. G. Macdonald, "Introduction to Commutative Algebra," Addison–Wesley, Reading, MA, 1969.
- [Be] K. Bennani, "Sur certaines représentations irréductibles d'algèbres de Lie de champs de vecteurs," Thèse de Doctorat, Université Paris VII, Février 1993.
- [BGR] W. Borho, P. Gabriel, and R. Rentschler, Primideale in Einhüllenden ausflösbarer Lie-Algebren, in *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 357, Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin, 1973.
- [BK] W. Borho and H. Kraft, Über die Gelfand–Kirillov Dimension, *Math. Ann.* **220** (1976), 1–24.
- [Bo] N. Bourbaki, "Eléments de Mathématiques, Algèbre commutative," Chaps. 1 and 2, Fascicule XXVII, Hermann, Paris, 1961.
- [C] J. Y. Charbonnel, Sur la méthode des orbites pour une algèbre de Lie résoluble, preprint.
- [CD] N. Conze and M. Duflo, Sur l'algèbre enveloppante d'une algèbre de Lie résoluble, *Bull. Sci. Math.* **94** (1970), 201–208.
- [D] J. Dixmier, "Algèbres enveloppantes," Cahiers Scientifiques, Fascicule XXXVII, Gauthier–Villars, Paris, 1974.

- [Du] M. Duflo, Sur les représentations irréductibles des algèbres de Lie contenant un idéal nilpotent, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A* **270** (1970), 504–506.
- [GK] I. Gelfand and A. Kirillov, Sur les corps liés aux algèbres enveloppantes des algèbres de Lie, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **31** (1966), 5–19.
- [H] R. Hartshorne, “Algebraic Geometry,” Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin, 1977.
- [J] D. A. Jordan, On the ideals of a Lie algebra of derivations, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **33** (1986), 33–39.
- [KL] G. R. Krause and T. H. Lenagan, Growth of algebras and Gelfand–Kirillov dimension, in *Research Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 116, Pitman, London, 1985.
- [L] S. Lang, “Algebra,” 3rd ed., Addison–Wesley, Reading, MA, 1993.
- [M] O. Mathieu, Bicontinuity of the Dixmier map, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **4**, No. 4 (1991), 837–863.
- [Ma] H. Matsumura, “Commutative Ring Theory,” Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 1986.
- [R] R. Rentschler, L’injectivité de l’application de Dixmier pour les algèbres de Lie résolubles, *Invent. Math.* **23** (1974), 49–71.
- [S] I. R. Shafarevich, “Basic Algebraic Geometry, I,” Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin, 1994.